

Rethinking Protein in Plant-Based Diets

Moving Beyond Grams: Protein Scores and the ‘Incomplete Protein’ Narrative

A PAN India White Paper

September 2026 | Version 1.0



Credits & Acknowledgements

CONCEPTUALISED AND LED BY

Dr. Rajeena Shahin
Medical Director, PAN India

RESEARCH AND DRAFTING

Dr. Akshitha Kamatham
Lead Medical & Research Team

CO-CONTRIBUTORS

Dr. Pritham S.M.
Dr. Amna Nakheebea
Dr. Sangeena Salam

EDITORS

Bhavani Gade
Rachana Jain

EDITORIAL INPUTS & PUBLICATION SUPPORT

Bharati Ramachandran
Rekha Raghunathan
Dr Shruti Sharma

Review Panel & Expert Contributors

LEAD REVIEWERS

Dr. Bijli Nanda
Dr. Suvetha Kannappan

Dr. Anil Bilimale
Dr. Sharang Warthikar

EXPERT REVIEW & ADVISORY PANEL

Dr. Rajendiran G
Dr. Arun Kalyanasundaram
Dr. Naveen Kini
Dr. Prathima Kini
Dr. Lokesh
Dr. Mahesh K M
Dr. Varsha Shah
Dr. Ananth Ram
Dr. Ishani Hanspal
Dr. Akash Chandra
Dr. Spoorthi Arun
Dr. Suneela Garg
Dr. Priya Kalyani
Dr. Varsha Shah
Dr. Yogita Bavaskar

Dr. Ananth Ram
Dr. Amreeta Yanamandra
Dr. Santosh Kumar
Dr. Suresh goud
Dr. Sanjeev Khanna
Dr. Pallavi Maddukuri
Dr. Ravi Sankaran
Dr. Anjali Nakra
Dr. Veena Acharya
Dr. Anuja Pethe
Dr. Smriti Naswa
Dr. Rupa Shah
Dr. Kiran Kulkarni
Dr. Sanchita Battacharya
Dr. Edwina Raj

SCOPE OF THIS DOCUMENT

Purpose:

Reframes protein in plant-based diets, moving beyond grams to protein quality, the "incomplete protein" narrative, and whole-diet adequacy.

Audience:

Healthcare professionals, dietitians, educators, advocacy groups, food companies, policymakers, and researchers.

What it covers:

- Protein requirements across life stages and health conditions (ICMR-NIN based, Indian context)
- Whether plant proteins are truly "incomplete", limiting amino acids, and food combining
- What PDCAAS and DIAAS can and cannot tell us
- The "protein package": fibre, fat, sodium, processing, and what a food replaces
- Plant vs animal protein, including muscle, health outcomes, and environment
- Effects of cooking and processing on protein
- When supplements help, and how to identify genuine protein risk
- A food-first, seven-step framework for achieving protein adequacy through practical dietary planning
- Roles of the wider ecosystem, plus consensus statements

Approach:

Evidence-based, food-first, and context-sensitive, with the goal of protein literacy, not protein anxiety.

1.Executive Summary

From protein numbers to protein literacy - evaluating protein at the level of the person, food, meal, dietary pattern and health.

Protein has become one of the most talked-about and misunderstood topics in nutrition. Grams, “complete vs incomplete” labels and high-protein marketing are useful, but none alone tells you whether a diet is healthy or adequate.

Appropriately planned plant-based diets can meet protein and amino acid needs across most life stages. Adequacy depends on total protein and calorie intake, dietary diversity, distribution of protein-rich foods and individual health context.

The idea that animal protein is “complete” while plant protein is “incomplete” oversimplifies the science. Plant foods contain all essential amino acids; the relative amounts vary. In a varied diet with enough calories, specific combining at every meal is usually unnecessary.

Protein Digestibility-Corrected Amino Acid Score (PDCAAS) and Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAA) help compare amino-acid profiles and digestibility, but they do not capture the broader food package. Protein should be viewed across the protein, food, meal, dietary-pattern and health/sustainability levels.

Whole, minimally processed plant proteins can meet protein needs while providing fibre and other beneficial nutrients. A “plant-based” or “high-protein” label is not a guarantee of quality.

Protein adequacy is best approached through a food-first, context-sensitive framework: define the individual's requirements, assess the existing dietary pattern, identify gaps, build meals around diverse protein-rich foods, use preparation methods that support digestibility and tolerance, pair adequate nutrition with resistance activity, and reserve supplements for situations where food alone is insufficient or impractical.



Whole Foods

Beans, lentils, soy, nuts, seeds and whole grains bring protein plus fibre and micronutrients.



Context Matters

Protein quality cannot be judged by PDCAAS/DIAAS alone; food, meal and dietary pattern matter.



Food- First

Build meals around diverse protein-rich foods; use supplements for a genuine gap

Key takeaways:

- Well-planned plant-based diets can meet protein needs at most life stages.
- Plant foods have all essential amino acids, proportions just differ by food.
- You usually don't need to combine specific proteins at every meal.
- Lab protein scores (PDCAAS, DIAAS) are useful but incomplete measures of a food's real value.
- Whole, minimally processed plant proteins should form the base of the diet.
- Certain life stages and health conditions need closer, individual attention.
- Supplements have a place for some people, but they don't replace overall diet quality.
- Protein adequacy is a property of the whole diet, not any single food.

2. Why Protein Needs to Be Reframed

2.1 Protein Has Become Just a Number

Protein is essential for growth, tissue repair, immune function, enzyme and hormone production, transport, and the maintenance of muscle and other lean tissues. But public discussion has reduced this to one question: "How many grams do I need?"

Quantification is important when assessing dietary adequacy, particularly in populations with increased requirements.

Protein recommendations are now frequently communicated through daily targets, percentages of energy, grams per kilogram of body weight, leucine thresholds and protein-quality scores. A food can be high in protein and still be high in sodium, saturated fat or added sugar, and low in fibre. Protein content is therefore important, but it is not a complete measure of food quality or dietary adequacy.

2.2 Two Competing Oversimplifications

Protein discussions within and outside the plant-based ecosystem are often shaped by two opposing narratives.

Plant protein is inherently inferior and animal protein is essential.

Any plant-based diet automatically provides enough protein.

Neither position adequately reflects the evidence.

Plant-based diets can provide sufficient protein and essential amino acids, but adequacy depends on how the diet is constructed and whether it meets the individual's needs. Similarly, animal foods may provide concentrated and highly digestible protein, but this characteristic alone does not determine their overall health value. The type of food, degree of processing, quantity, frequency and the foods being replaced must also be considered.


2.3 A Systems View

Protein nutrition operates through several interconnected levels. At the protein level, amino acid composition and digestibility matter. At the food level, protein is delivered within a matrix containing fats, carbohydrates, fibre, micronutrients, phytochemicals, sodium and other components. At the meal level, portion size, food combinations, preparation and protein distribution influence intake and utilisation. At the dietary-pattern level, diversity, energy sufficiency and repeated food choices determine long-term adequacy. Finally, the individual's age, activity, health, appetite and physiological state modify both requirements and outcomes.

This expanded perspective changes the central question from "How much protein does this food contain?" to "Does this overall dietary pattern provide sufficient, usable protein in a form that supports the individual's health and functional needs?" Protein should therefore be evaluated as part of a system, not as an isolated number, nutrient or product claim.

The value of protein emerges from the interaction between the person, the food and the overall dietary pattern.

Beyond grams: understanding protein in biological and dietary context



Physiological & Clinical outcomes

Muscle integrity & strength Immune competence Metabolic health Recovery & wound healing
Bone health Healthy ageing & quality of life Sustainability & planetary health Neurocognitive function

3. Understanding Protein Requirements

Protein requirements are often communicated as a single daily target. In practice, they represent a range shaped by the individual's physiology, health, activity and total dietary intake. Understanding what protein recommendations mean and what they do not mean is essential before evaluating the adequacy of a plant-based diet.

For a healthy Indian adult, ICMR-NIN recommends about 0.83 grams of protein per kilogram of body weight per day, roughly 50g/day for a 60kg adult. This is a starting reference point, not a strict minimum that must be hit daily, nor a reason to eat far more than needed.

Certain groups need more individual attention: growing children, pregnant or breastfeeding women, older adults, athletes, people losing weight quickly (including on GLP-1 medications like semaglutide), and people who are individuals with acute or chronic illness. For these groups, adequacy may need more deliberate meal planning, more protein-dense meals, or targeted supplements.

0.83 g/kg/day

ICMR-NIN reference
for a healthy Indian adult

Treat protein requirement as
flexible range shaped by an
individual. Not a fixed number
everyone should hit exactly.

3.1 Protein Needs Change With Life Stage

Growing children and teens need more protein relative to their size. Pregnancy and breastfeeding raise requirements to support the baby and milk production. Athletes may need more for muscle repair and recovery. Older adults often need proportionally more protein because ageing reduces how efficiently the body uses it (called "anabolic resistance"), and appetite often declines too. During illness, injury, surgery or recovery, the body's protein needs typically rise, but the right amount depends heavily on the specific condition, this should be guided by a clinician, not a generic formula.

3.2 Energy (Calories) and Protein Work Together

When dietary energy is insufficient, amino acids may be oxidised for energy rather than being fully available for tissue maintenance, repair and synthesis. This matters most for people on very restrictive diets, older adults with poor appetite, athletes training hard, people losing weight quickly, people on GLP-1 medications, and anyone who is suffering from acute or chronic illness. Simply adding a protein powder without fixing low calorie intake or poor meal structure often doesn't solve the underlying problem.

3.3 Daily Intake and Meal Distribution

Total daily protein matters most, but how it's spread across meals can help too. Many people eat very little protein at breakfast and load up at dinner. Spreading protein-rich foods more evenly across 2-3 meals can help with fullness, muscle maintenance, and simply hitting the daily target, especially for older adults, athletes, and anyone trying to preserve muscle while losing weight.

Table: Protein Guidance at a Glance

Group	Typical guidance	Why
Healthy adults	~0.83 g/kg/day	ICMR-NIN reference; about 50g/day for a 60kg adult
Children & teens	~0.83-0.97 g/kg/day	Higher relative needs to support growth
Pregnancy	+9.5 g/day (2nd trimester), +22 g/day (3rd)	Supports fetal growth and maternal tissue
Breastfeeding	+16.9 g/day (0-6 mo), +13.2 g/day (6-12 mo)	Supports milk production
Older adults (65+)	~1.0-1.2 g/kg/day	Counters age-related muscle loss
Athletes	~1.4-2.0 g/kg/day	Supports repair, recovery, training adaptation
Hospital / acute illness	~1.0-1.2 g/kg/day	Depends heavily on severity; individualise
Cancer / catabolic illness	>1.0, up to ~1.5 g/kg/day	ESPEN guidance; individualise to clinical status
Chronic kidney disease	~0.8 g/kg/day (adjusted according to stage of disease)	Protects kidney function; stage-dependent

4. Are Plant Proteins Really "Incomplete"?

Calling plant protein "incomplete" is misleading. Almost all plant foods contain all nine essential amino acids, the ones the body cannot make itself and must get from food. What differs is the relative amount of each amino acid, not whether it's present at all.

4.1 What "Complete Protein" Actually Means

A protein is technically labelled "complete" if it supplies all nine essential amino acids in proportions close to what the body needs. This is a useful way to judge a single food, but real diets are made of many foods eaten across many meals, so what matters more is the whole diet, not one food in isolation.

4.2 Limiting Amino Acids: A Relative and Not Absolute, Issue

Cereals (rice, wheat) tend to be relatively lower in the amino acid lysine. Legumes (dal, beans) tend to be relatively lower in methionine and cysteine. These are relative shortfalls, not absences and they matter far less when the diet includes a variety of foods. Soy, when consumed in adequate amounts, is an exception among plant proteins, as it provides all nine essential amino acids and can be considered a complete protein source.


4.3 Food Combining: Useful, But Rarely Mandatory

Traditional pairings like rice with dal, roti with chana, or idli with sambar naturally balance out amino acid profiles. But healthy adults eating a varied diet with enough calories generally do not need to deliberately combine specific proteins at every single meal, the body draws on the day's overall intake. Combining becomes more useful when the diet is very limited in variety, energy intake is low, or protein needs are unusually high.

4.4 The Indian Diet Context

Traditional Indian meals often naturally combine cereals and pulses, but simply having both on the plate doesn't guarantee enough protein if the pulse portion is small. A large plate of rice with a thin serving of dal can still be low in total protein. The real issue is usually quantity, not "completeness." Practical priorities: eat more pulses and legumes, include soy foods where suitable, use nuts and seeds as a top-up, choose protein-rich whole grains, and keep total calorie intake adequate.

The Scramble in Action



The right question isn't "is this one food a complete protein?" It's "does my overall diet give me enough protein and amino acids?"

5. Protein Quality Scores: Useful But Incomplete

Protein quality describes how well a protein provides the body's indispensable amino acids, considering both amino-acid composition and digestibility. Several methods assess protein quality, each with its own strengths and limitations.

5.1 The Main Measurement Methods

- **Biological Value (BV):** how much absorbed protein is retained and used by the body. It is an older method and now mainly of historical interest.
- **Net Protein Utilisation (NPU):** combines digestion and retention, but relies heavily on older animal-study methods.
- **PDCAAS:** compares a protein's amino acids to a reference pattern, adjusted for overall digestibility. Widely used, but has limitations: scores are capped at 1.0, and it uses overall protein digestibility rather than assessing each amino acid separately.
- **DIAAS:** the newer, FAO-preferred standard. It assesses each indispensable amino acid separately using ileal digestibility, providing a more precise picture of protein quality.

No single score captures the full nutritional value of a food or diet. Protein-quality scores do not capture the whole diet, including food combinations, other nutrients, or total protein intake.

5.2 Protein-Quality Scores: What They Tell Us vs What They Miss

Scores CAN tell you	Scores CANNOT tell you.
Amino acid profile: Compare essential amino acids and identify limiting amino acids.	Actual intake: Whether enough total protein is being consumed.
Digestibility: Estimate how well amino acids are digested.	Meal context: How the protein contributes alongside other foods in a meal.
Protein formulation: Help assess and formulate concentrated protein products.	Overall diet quality: Dietary diversity, fibre and other nutrients.
Potential gaps: Identify possible limitations in low-diversity diets.	Food matrix & processing: How preparation and processing affect the food.
High-need settings: Useful when protein needs are high, or one staple provides much of the protein.	Substitution & outcomes: What the protein replaces and its wider health, affordability, cultural, and environmental implications.

5.3 What Actually Determines Real-World Adequacy

- How much of the food you actually eat, not just its theoretical score
- Overall dietary diversity, which smooths out any single food's weak points
- Whether total calorie intake is sufficient (protein can't be used well if you're underfed)
- The person's own health situation: a source that's fine for a healthy adult may not be dense enough for an older adult with a small appetite

PDCAAS and DIAAS help compare individual foods scientifically. They are not verdicts on whether a diet or a food is healthy.

6. The "Protein Package": What Else Comes With It

No one eats pure protein. Every protein-containing food also brings or displaces fibre, fat, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, plant compounds, sodium, and more. Two foods with identical protein content can have very different effects on health.

Equal Protein ≠ Equal Food

A serving of lentils, tofu, fish, processed meat, or a protein bar might all supply a similar amount of protein, but they are nutritionally very different. What matters is the whole package: fibre, fat, sodium, processing level, and what the food replaces in the diet.

What comes with the protein?

6.1 Whole Plant Proteins

Pulses, beans, lentils, soy, nuts, seeds, and whole grains provide protein alongside fibre, healthy fats, minerals, folate, and bioactive compounds, supporting dietary quality, glycaemic control, healthy lipid levels, and cardiovascular health.

6.2 Animal Proteins Are Not One Category

Fish eggs, poultry, dairy, unprocessed red meat and processed meat differ substantially in saturated fat, sodium, processing and health associations. WHO classifies processed meat as a carcinogen and red meat as a probable carcinogen, highlighting differences in the nutrient profiles of animal protein foods.

6.3 Plant-Based Does Not Automatically Mean Healthy

Plant-based products range from whole lentils to heavily processed meat analogues, protein bars and fortified snacks. Check sodium, saturated fat, added sugar and fibre, not just the protein number.

6.4 What the Food Replaces Matters Most

Research consistently shows that replacing processed meat with legumes, nuts, or fish is linked to better heart and longevity outcomes. But replacing an already-healthy minimally-processed meal with an ultra-processed "high-protein" snack is not automatically an improvement, even though the protein grams may look similar or higher.

Look beyond the protein grams. Ask what else comes with the food, and, most importantly, what that food is replacing in the diet.

7. Plant vs. Animal Protein: Moving Beyond "Which Is Better"

Comparisons between plant and animal proteins are often framed as a search for a universally superior source of animal protein cast as “complete” and highly bioavailable, and plant protein as inherently healthier and more sustainable. Both framings contain elements of truth, but neither is sufficient on its own to evaluate the nutritional or health value of an individual food or dietary pattern.

The common question

“Which category of protein is superior?”

The more appropriate question

“Which protein sources, in what amounts and dietary context, best meet this person’s nutritional and health needs?”

7.1 Animal Proteins: Strengths and Important Distinctions

Many animal foods provide concentrated, highly digestible protein and nutrients that need extra attention on a strict plant-based diet: vitamin B12, iron, zinc, calcium, and long-chain omega-3s. This can be genuinely useful when protein needs are high, appetite is low, or meal volume must stay small. But animal protein is not one category; fish, eggs, dairy, poultry, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat differ enormously in health impact.

7.2 Plant Proteins: Strengths and Important Distinctions

Whole and minimally processed plant proteins bring fibre, unsaturated fats, and plant compounds linked with better cardiometabolic health. But plant protein also isn't one category; a whole lentil, a soy protein isolate, and a plant-based meat analogue are nutritionally very different despite all being "plant-based."

7.3 Muscle Building: A Real But Modest Difference

Fast-digesting animal proteins like whey trigger a somewhat stronger short-term muscle-building signal than some plant proteins, largely due to leucine content. But a 2025 review, by Reid-McCann of 30 clinical trials found only a small overall advantage for animal protein on muscle mass, no meaningful difference in strength or physical performance between plant and animal protein, and no difference at all between soy and milk protein specifically. The short-term biological signal does not automatically translate into a long-term functional difference

7.4 What the Protein Replaces Changes the Outcome

715,000+
people in a major prospective analysis
(Naghshi, 2020)

Outcome: Higher plant-protein intake was associated with a lower risk of death from all causes and from cardiovascular disease, while associations for animal protein varied by source.

The replacement matters. Replacing processed meat with legumes can matter far more than simply swapping one already-healthy food for another.

7.5 Environmental Context

A major global analysis of nearly 40,000 farms found that even the lowest-impact animal products generally still have a bigger environmental footprint than plant alternatives, though the exact impact varies hugely by farming method and region. Environmental impact is a real consideration alongside nutrition, affordability, and cultural relevance, not a replacement for any of them.

Neither plant nor animal protein is universally "better." What matters is the specific food, how it's prepared, what it replaces in the diet, and the person's own needs.

8. Protein Needs Across Life Stages and Health Conditions

Plant-based diets can meet protein needs at every stage, as long as they provide enough calories, enough protein-rich food, and enough variety. Here's what changes by group:

- **Healthy adults:** A varied, adequately fed diet with pulses, soy, nuts, and whole grains is generally enough. No need for careful amino acid tracking.
- **Children & adolescents:** Need enough calorie density, regular meals, and attention to B12, iron, zinc, calcium, and vitamin D alongside protein.
- **Pregnancy & breastfeeding:** Higher protein and calorie needs, plus attention to B12, iron, iodine, calcium, vitamin D, zinc, choline, and omega-3s.
- **Athletes:** Roughly 1.4-2.0 g/kg/day depending on training, with attention to timing and recovery.
- **Older adults:** Lower appetite and reduced ability to use protein efficiently mean more protein-dense meals, better spacing across the day, and resistance exercise matter more. Roughly 1.0-1.2 g/kg/day is typical.
- **Weight loss & GLP-1 therapy:** Reduced appetite makes it harder to eat enough protein. Focus on protein-dense foods and meal distribution rather than just "eating more protein" overall. Watch for rapid weight loss, ongoing GI symptoms, or low intake.
- **Illness & hospitalization:** Needs often rise while appetite falls; individualised nutrition support (including supplements or clinical feeding when needed) should not be delayed.

Protein adequacy is a property of the whole diet, not the label "plant-based." Most healthy adults just need variety and enough calories; other groups need more individual planning

9. Health Outcomes: The Source and Pattern Matter More Than the Amount

Simply counting grams of protein doesn't predict health outcomes well. What matters more is which specific foods the protein comes from, how processed they are, and what they replace in the diet. Evidence increasingly supports viewing protein within the whole dietary pattern, reinforcing that it's the food, not the nutrient in isolation, that drives outcomes.

9.1 Heart health

Choose protective protein foods

Legumes, nuts, seeds and minimally processed soy, particularly when replacing processed meat, are associated with better cardiovascular outcomes. Meta-analyses and trials suggest that replacing red or processed meat with legumes, soy or nuts can improve cardiovascular risk markers, including cholesterol. Heart-health guidance increasingly emphasizes plant-based protein sources while limiting processed meat.

Protein quality is inseparable from the food matrix and the replacement food.

Landmark JACC cohort: 209,000 adults, 8,631 CHD cases, up to 29 years of follow-up

Healthful plant-based diet →  **25% CHD risk**
 Unhealthful plant-based diet →  **32% CHD risk**

29,682 US adults, 6 prospective cohorts, 1985–2016

Replacing Meat / Eggs → Nuts/Legumes/
 Whole Grains/Fish
Up to 54% lower risk of CVD and premature death

9.2 Diabetes & blood sugar

Preserve the whole-food matrix

Whole pulses, nuts and soy, with their fibre intact, generally produce a gentler glycaemic response than refined carbohydrates. Regular pulse intake is linked with improved glycaemic markers. Processed meat, and to a lesser extent unprocessed red meat, is associated with higher diabetes risk; replacing these with nuts and legumes is associated with lower risk.

Fibre and food structure matter when developing healthier protein options.

EPIC-InterAct Study

27,191 European participants
 11,741 T2D cases

Red / processed meat →  **Nuts: 10% lower risk**
Yogurt: 10% lower risk
Whole-grain cereals: 8% lower risk

What replaces red and processed meat matters, not protein quantity alone.

9.3 Weight management

Protein is part of the strategy

Higher protein intake can support satiety and help preserve muscle during weight loss, but effects are modest and depend on energy intake and overall diet quality. Regular pulse consumption is associated with small reductions in body weight. Resistance exercise adds an important benefit for preserving muscle beyond diet alone.

Protein strategies work best within an overall healthy dietary pattern, not as a standalone solution.

9.5 Cancer risk

Separate prevention from treatment

Processed meat is an established colorectal cancer risk factor, while red meat is a probable risk factor. These associations apply to specific foods, not to “protein” or animal protein as a category. Plant-rich dietary patterns are associated with lower cancer risk. During active cancer treatment, however, maintaining adequate energy and protein to prevent malnutrition and muscle loss may take priority.

Reduce processed meat, favour fibre-rich diets, and individualise nutrition support during treatment.

9.4 Kidney health

Individualise by health status

In people without kidney disease, normal-to-moderately high protein intake does not appear to harm kidney function, although evidence on very high intakes remains limited. In chronic kidney disease, protein needs are individualised; moderate restriction may benefit some patients and should be guided clinically. Plant proteins may offer advantages because of their fibre and mineral profile, but evidence remains limited.

Avoid one-size-fits-all protein advice, particularly in CKD.

9.6 Muscle health & ageing

Beyond the protein target

Muscle health depends on adequate energy, protein, resistance exercise and overall health. Differences between plant and animal protein in long-term muscle mass, strength and physical performance appear small when total intake and diet quality are considered. Supplements are most useful when dietary intake is inadequate, rather than as an automatic add-on.

Healthy ageing requires adequate nutrition, resistance exercise and individualised care, not protein targets alone.

10. How Cooking and Processing Affect Protein

The nutritional value and functional properties of a protein-containing food are influenced by how the food is prepared and processed. Soaking, germination, fermentation, grinding, heating, extrusion, and protein isolation can alter protein structure, digestibility, amino acid availability, food safety, palatability, and the broader nutritional composition of the final food. Food processing can therefore either improve or reduce aspects of protein utilisation depending on the method, intensity, food, and final product.

Processing isn't automatically "good" or "bad"; what matters is the specific method and what it does to the final food.

10.2 Why Preparation Matters for Plant Foods

Raw legumes, grains, nuts, and seeds naturally contain compounds like phytates and trypsin inhibitors, sometimes called "antinutrients," that can reduce mineral or protein absorption in large amounts. These are not dangerous or harmful in a normal varied diet, but proper preparation reduces them further and improves digestibility.

Practical Preparation Methods

- **Soaking:** Softens beans and grains and reduces some compounds (lectins, oxalates), but doesn't reduce phytic acid much and doesn't meaningfully boost protein content.
- **Sprouting/germination:** Can improve digestibility and mineral availability, but raw sprouts carry a real food-safety risk (Salmonella, E. coli) since there's no cooking step to kill bacteria, cook sprouts or buy from safe, refrigerated sources.
- **Fermentation:** Can improve digestibility and nutrient availability (e.g. dhokla-style batters, tempeh) and adds dietary variety, but effects depend on the specific microbes and food.
- **Cooking:** Proper cooking (including pressure cooking) improves digestibility and safety for pulses and legumes. Overcooking at very high heat can reduce the availability of some amino acids (like lysine), so "adequately cooked" is the goal — not minimal or excessive cooking.
- **Frying:** Mainly adds fat and calories rather than destroying protein. Repeated reuse of frying oil is the bigger nutritional concern.

10.3 From Whole Foods to Isolates

Plant-protein processing ranges from whole foods to concentrated ingredients and formulated products. Processing can alter protein concentration, digestibility, fibre, convenience and the broader nutritional profile.

- **Whole or minimally altered foods:** Pulses, beans, lentils, nuts, seeds and grains retain much of their original food matrix, providing protein alongside fibre, minerals and bioactive compounds.
- **Traditionally processed foods:** Dal, tofu, tempeh, fermented batters, hummus and nut/seed pastes may improve digestibility, safety, palatability and convenience while retaining much of the food's nutritional value.
- **Concentrates and textured proteins:** Soy chunks, textured vegetable protein and pulse concentrates provide more concentrated, shelf-stable protein. Their quality depends on ingredients, processing, formulation and sodium content.
- **Protein isolates and powders:** Provide concentrated, predictable protein and may be useful when food-based intake is difficult, although isolation removes much of the original fibre and food matrix.
- **Formulated plant-based products:** Meat alternatives, protein bars, fortified snacks and desserts vary widely in protein, fibre, sodium, saturated fat and overall formulation.

Processing is a tool, not a verdict. The right choice depends on the person and the purpose, whole pulses for most people, protein isolates or fortified foods for someone with a specific gap, like an older adult with a small appetite.

11. Protein Supplements: A Useful Tool, Not a Universal Need

Protein powders, shakes, bars, and fortified foods are now marketed to almost everyone. The real question isn't "Should I use a protein supplement?" It's "Do I have a specific, defined protein gap that food alone can't practically fill?"

11.1 Do Plant-Based Diets Need Supplements?

Usually, no. Most healthy adults eating a varied, adequately fed plant-based diet can meet their protein needs through food. Being vegan or vegetarian is not, by itself, a reason to supplement. Before reaching for a powder, check: is total food/calorie intake adequate? Are protein-rich foods included at each meal? Is appetite or meal size limited? If the problem is skipped meals or small portion sizes, fixing the diet is usually more useful than adding a supplement.

11.2 When Supplements Genuinely Help

- Athletes with high training loads, travel, or limited access to full meals around workouts
- Older adults with low appetite, chewing difficulty, or early fullness
- People on GLP-1 medications or losing weight quickly, where appetite suppression limits food volume
- People recovering from illness or surgery, where clinically formulated supplements may be more appropriate than sports products

Common Protein Sources Compared

Source	Key traits	Note
Whey (dairy)	Fast-digesting, high leucine	Not vegan
Casein (dairy)	Slow, sustained release	Not vegan
Soy	Strong amino acid profile, good evidence base	Plant-based; check thyroid medication timing
Pea protein	Good plant option, rich in lysine	Lower in methionine
Rice protein	Useful alternative to soy/pea	Lower in lysine; often blended with pea
Plant blends	Combine sources for a fuller amino acid profile	Quality depends on the actual formulation
Collagen	Specific joint/skin uses	Not a complete protein, don't rely on it as a main source

11.5 Protein Dose, Leucine, and Distribution

The appropriate supplement dose depends on body size, age, diet, activity, training or recovery goals, energy intake and clinical context. More is not necessarily better; excessive supplementation may increase cost and displace other foods.

Leucine supports muscle-protein synthesis, particularly in older adults, but effective synthesis also requires all essential amino acids, adequate energy, and an anabolic stimulus. Total daily protein intake remains the foundation; dose distribution and timing should be individualised rather than based on a rigid “anabolic window.”

Important Cautions

- A protein shake may provide 20–30 g protein but little energy, fibre, essential fats, micronutrients, or food diversity. Unless specifically formulated as a nutritionally complete meal replacement, it should not be considered equivalent to a balanced meal.
- Similarly, protein water provides isolated protein but not the broader nutritional value of pulses, soy foods, nuts, seeds, whole grains, vegetables, or fruit.
- Protein supplements can help fill protein gaps, support recovery, increase protein density, and meet clinical needs when food intake is limited; meal replacement requires a nutritionally complete product.
- Look for products with a clear ingredient list, transparent protein content, and (for athletes) third-party testing for banned substances.

12. Identifying Genuine Protein Risk in Plant-Based Diets

Protein risk comes from a mismatch between intake and need, not from following a plant-based diet per se. A healthy adult eating a varied, adequately fed plant-based diet is typically at low risk. An older adult with poor appetite, someone losing weight rapidly, or a patient recovering from a major illness needs closer attention, regardless of diet type.

12.1 When Plant-Based Diets Can Become Protein-Limited

- Cereal-heavy meals with only a small portion of pulses (a quantity problem, not a “combining” problem)
- Very restrictive diets that exclude legumes/soy or rely on a narrow range of raw, low-calorie foods
- Diets dominated by refined or ultra-processed foods, where legumes, soy, nuts and whole grains are pushed out
- Low total calorie intake, which increases how much protein gets burned for energy instead of used for repair

Overall, research shows plant-based diets can supply enough protein across adulthood when they include a good variety of legumes, soy, nuts and seeds. The main real-world risk is having too little protein relative to calories, not poor amino acid “quality.” The clearest risk case is a diet that leans very heavily on grains rather than legumes, since grains are comparatively low in protein per calorie.

12.2 Individuals at Higher Risk

Infants and children with poor growth, pregnant or breastfeeding women eating too little, athletes with heavy training loads, older adults with poor appetite or frailty, people losing weight rapidly (including on GLP-1 medications), people with chewing/swallowing or digestive problems, and anyone recovering from surgery, injury, infection, or cancer treatment.

A Simple Way to Assess Risk

Domain	Key question
Intake	Is enough protein being consumed?
Demand	Are requirements increased?
Digestion & losses	Can protein be digested, absorbed, and retained?
Function & trajectory	Is there evidence of decline?

Risk rises when problems appear across several of these areas at once, not just one. Response should scale with risk: routine dietary guidance for low risk, closer dietary review and better meal structure for emerging risk, and a proper clinical nutrition assessment for high or urgent risk.

Protein risk is not defined by the dietary label alone. It emerges from the interaction of intake, demand, digestion and losses, and functional trajectory. Assessment across all four domains helps determine whether routine dietary guidance is sufficient or whether clinical nutrition intervention is required.

13. A Food-First Framework for Plant-Protein Adequacy

Protein guidance should move beyond generic instructions to “eat more protein.” Effective guidance begins by understanding the person’s requirements, identifying where the existing diet falls short, and selecting foods that improve both protein adequacy and overall dietary quality.

A food-first approach prioritizes culturally familiar, affordable, and minimally processed foods. However, food-first does not mean food-only. Concentrated protein foods, fortified products, and supplements may be useful when requirements are high, appetite is limited, or ordinary meals cannot provide sufficient intake

Seven Practical Steps:

1. **Define the Individual's Protein Need Context:** age, activity, weight goals, appetite, illness, and any treatments.
2. **Assess the Existing Dietary Pattern:** meal timing, portions, protein sources, and calorie intake.
3. **Establish an Appropriate Protein Target:** based on standard guidance, adjusted for their situation.
4. **Build Meals Around Diverse Protein-Rich Foods:** pulses, soy, nuts, seeds, whole grains, and traditional combinations.
5. **Improve Protein Density and Utilization:** thicker dal, more tofu/tempeh, fortified foods, and preparation methods (soaking, cooking, and fermenting) that improve digestibility.
6. **Combine Protein with Resistance Activity and Recovery:** protein alone doesn't build or preserve muscle; movement is the other half of the equation.
7. **Use Supplements Selectively:** only when food alone genuinely can't meet the need, and choose the product carefully.

Quick Fixes for Common Meal Gaps

Common meal	The gap	Simple fix
Tea/coffee + toast	Very low protein	Add a pulse dish, tofu, or soy yogurt.
Idli/dosa + a little chutney	Modest protein	Add a generous dal-based sambar
Large rice + a little dal	Low protein density	Shrink the rice portion, increase the dal/tofu.
Roti + vegetable curry only	No concentrated protein	Add chana, rajma, dal, or soy.
Fruit-only meal or smoothie	Inadequate protein	Add soy milk, tofu, or soy yogurt.
Very small meals (e.g., on GLP-1 therapy)	Reduced protein/nutrients	Prioritise protein-dense food within tolerated volume

14. Responsibilities of the Plant-Based Ecosystem

Getting protein right isn't only an individual responsibility; it depends on healthcare professionals, advocacy groups, educators, food companies, policymakers, and researchers all doing their part.

- **Healthcare professionals:** Assess the real diet and situation rather than assuming a "vegan" or "plant-based" label means adequate or inadequate protein.
- **Plant-based advocacy groups:** Be honest about both strengths and limitations; claiming all plant-based diets are automatically fine undermines trust.
- **Educators:** Teach protein as a person-food-meal-diet system, not a simple "complete vs incomplete" checklist.
- **Food companies:** A protein claim on packaging isn't proof of nutritional quality; sodium, sugar, saturated fat, and fibre matter too. Avoid exaggerated muscle-building claims.
- **Policymakers:** Support affordable pulses and legumes, crop diversity, clear food labelling, and quality standards for supplements.
- **Researchers:** Study specific foods and real-world diets, especially in Indian vegetarian and vegan populations, older adults, and people on weight-loss therapies, rather than treating all plant or animal protein as one category.

15. Conclusion

Protein is essential, but modern conversations often reduce it to grams, scores, and marketing claims, losing sight of the person, the meal, and the overall diet.

Well-planned plant-based diets can meet protein and amino acid needs across most life stages, supported by what we know about human protein requirements and the amino acid content of varied plant foods. But this depends on eating enough calories, eating enough protein-rich food, and keeping the diet varied.

The real question is never "is this one food complete?" It's "does the whole diet provide enough protein for this person's needs?" PDCAAS and DIAAS remain useful scientific tools for comparing individual foods, but they don't capture portion sizes, real meals, food processing, affordability, or long-term health.

Equal grams of protein do not mean equal foods. Health outcomes depend on the specific food, how it's prepared, and what it replaces in the diet. Extra care is needed for older adults, children, pregnant or breastfeeding women, athletes, people losing weight rapidly (including on GLP-1 therapy), and people recovering from illness.

The practical foundation is a food-first approach: build meals around a variety of protein-rich foods, improve protein density where needed, use preparation methods that aid digestion, and pair adequate nutrition with resistance exercise. Supplements remain useful, but as a targeted tool for a specific gap, not as a routine substitute for a good diet.

The goal is not to promote ever-higher protein intake nor to declare one protein source universally superior. It's protein literacy: understanding protein in the context of the person, the food, the meal, the diet, and the wider food system.

Consensus Statements

- Appropriately planned plant-based diets can meet protein and essential amino acid needs across most life stages, provided total intake, calories, and variety are adequate.
- The "complete vs incomplete protein" framing is an oversimplification. Most plant foods have all essential amino acids; precise combining at every meal is generally unnecessary in a varied, well-fed diet.
- PDCAAS and DIAAS are useful but incomplete, they measure amino acids and digestibility, not a food's full nutritional, clinical, or environmental value.
- Protein foods shouldn't be sorted into simple "plant vs animal" categories. The specific food, its processing, and what it replaces matter more than its origin.
- A "plant-based" or "high-protein" label does not guarantee nutritional quality, check the whole product, not just the protein number.
- Higher-risk groups: older adults, children, pregnant/breastfeeding women, athletes, people losing weight rapidly, and those recovering from illness, need more individual assessment and, sometimes, targeted supplementation.
- Food-first doesn't mean food-only. Supplements are appropriate when they fill a real, defined gap, not as a routine replacement for diet quality.
- Protein guidance should weigh health, accessibility, cultural relevance, and environmental sustainability together. The goal is protein literacy, not protein anxiety.

Bibliography

- Aas, A.-M., Axelsen, M., Churuangsuk, C., Hermansen, K., Kendall, C. W. C., Kahleova, H., Khan, T., Lean, M. E. J., Mann, J. I., Pedersen, E., Pfeiffer, A., Rahelić, D., Reynolds, A. N., Risérus, U., Rivellesse, A. A., Salas-Salvadó, J., Schwab, U., Sievenpiper, J. L., Thanopoulou, A., & Uusitupa, E. M. (2023). Evidence-based European recommendations for the dietary management of diabetes. *Diabetologia*, 66(6), 965–985. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-023-05894-8>
- Acquah, C., Ohemeng-Boahen, G., Power, K. A., & Tosh, S. M. (2021). The effect of processing on bioactive compounds and nutritional qualities of pulses in meeting the sustainable development goal 2. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.681662>
- Adhikari, S., Schop, M., de Boer, I. J. M., & Huppertz, T. (2022). Protein quality in perspective: A review of protein quality metrics and their applications. *Nutrients*, 14(5), 947. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14050947>
- Amoah, I., Ascione, A., Fares, Feraco, A., Elisabetta Camajani, Gorini, S., Armani, A., Caprio, M., & Lombardo, M. (2023). Sustainable strategies for increasing legume consumption: Culinary and educational approaches. *Foods*, 12(11), 2265–2265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12112265>
- Arnesen, E. K., Thorisdottir, B., Bärebring, L., Söderlund, F., Nwaru, B. I., Spielau, U., Dierkes, J., Ramel, A., Lamberg-Allardt, C., & Åkesson, A. (2023). Nuts and seeds consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and their risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Food & Nutrition Research*, 67. <https://doi.org/10.29219/fnr.v67.8961>
- Bakaloudi, D. R., Halloran, A., Rippin, H. L., Oikonomidou, A. C., Dardavesis, T. I., Williams, J., Wickramasinghe, K., Breda, J., & Chourdakis, M. (2020). Intake and adequacy of the vegan diet. A systematic review of the evidence. *Clinical Nutrition*, 40(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2020.11.035>
- Barazzoni, R., Bischoff, S. C., Krznaric, Z., Pirlich, M., & Singer, P. (2020). ESPEN expert statements and practical guidance for nutritional management of individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Clinical Nutrition*, 2020;39(6):1631-1638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2020.03.022>
- Barrantes-Espinola, G., Alberti, P., Jaramillo-Morales, E., Rodriguez, A., & López-Moreno, M. (2026). Isocaloric substitution of animal protein with plant protein and its impact on all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Nutrition*, 61, 106654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2026.106654>
- Bauer, J., Biolo, G., Cederholm, T., Cesari, M., Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Morley, J. E., Phillips, S., Sieber, C., Stehle, P., Teta, D., Visvanathan, R., Volpi, E., & Boirie, Y. (2013). Evidence-based recommendations for optimal dietary protein intake in older people: A position paper from the PROT-AGE study group. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 14(8), 542–559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2013.05.021>
- Beltrá, M., Borrás, F., & Ropero, A. B. (2024). Are foods with protein claims healthy? A study of the Spanish market. *Nutrients*, 16(24), 4281–4281. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16244281>

- Bera, I., M. O'Sullivan, Flynn, D., & Shields, D. C. (2023). Relationship between protein digestibility and the proteolysis of legume proteins during seed germination. *Molecules*, 28(7), 3204–3204. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28073204>
- Berrazaga, I., Micard, V., Gueugneau, M., & Walrand, S. (2019). The role of the anabolic properties of plant- versus animal-based protein sources in supporting muscle Mass maintenance: A critical review. *Nutrients*, 11(8), 1825.
- Bouvard, V., Loomis, D., Guyton, K. Z., Grosse, Y., Ghissassi, F. El, Benbrahim-Tallaa, L., Guha, N., Mattock, H., & Straif, K. (2015). Carcinogenicity of consumption of red and processed meat. *The Lancet Oncology*, 16(16), 1599–1600. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045\(15\)00444-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(15)00444-1)
- Burstad, K. M., Cladis, D. P., Wiese, G. N., Butler, M., & Hill, K. M. (2023). Effects of plant-based protein consumption on kidney function and mineral bone disorder outcomes in adults with stage 3-5 chronic kidney disease: A systematic review. *Journal of Renal Nutrition*, 33(6), 717–730. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2023.04.004>
- Carrero, J. J., González-Ortiz, A., Avesani, C. M., Bakker, S. J. L., Bellizzi, V., Chauveau, P., Clase, C. M., Cupisti, A., Espinosa-Cuevas, A., Molina, P., Moreau, K., Piccoli, G. B., Post, A., Sezer, S., & Fouque, D. (2020). Plant-based diets to manage the risks and complications of chronic kidney disease. *Nature Reviews Nephrology*, 16(9), 525–542. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-020-0297-2>
- Chu, A. H., Lin, K., Croker, H., Kefyalew, S., Becerra-Tomás, N., Dossus, L., González-Gil, E. M., Ahmadi, N., Park, Y., Krebs, J., Weijenberg, M. P., Baskin, M. L., Copson, E., Lewis, S. J., Seidell, J. C., Chowdhury, R., Hill, L., Chan, D. S., Lee, D. H., & Giovannucci, E. L. (2025). Dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk: Global cancer update programme (CUP global) systematic literature review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajcnut.2025.02.021>
- Day, L., Cakebread, J. A., & Loveday, S. M. (2022). Food proteins from animals and plants: Differences in the nutritional and functional properties. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 119(119), 428–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.12.020>
- de Gavelle, E., Huneau, J.-F., Bianchi, C. M., Verger, E. O., & Mariotti, F. (2017). Protein adequacy is primarily a matter of protein quantity, not quality: Modeling an increase in plant:Animal protein ratio in French adults. *Nutrients*, 9(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9121333>
- Delsoglio, M., Capener, R., Smith, T. R., Donald, M., Hubbard, G. P., & Stratton, R. J. (2025). High-protein oral nutritional supplement use in patients with cancer reduces complications and length of hospital stay: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2025.1654637>
- Devries, M. C., Sithamparapillai, A., Brimble, K. S., Banfield, L., Morton, R. W., & Phillips, S. M. (2018). Changes in kidney function do not differ between healthy adults consuming higher- compared with lower- or normal-protein diets: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 148(11), 1760–1775. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxy197>
- Dhiman, S., Kaur, S., Thakur, B., Singh, P., & Tripathi, M. (2025). Nutritional enhancement of plant-based fermented foods: Microbial innovations for a sustainable future. *Fermentation*, 11(6), 346–346. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation11060346>

- Egert, S., Amini, A. M., Klug, L., Kalotai, N., Haardt, J., Boeing, H., Buyken, A. E., Kroke, A., Lorkowski, S., Louis, S., Nimptsch, K., Schulze, M. B., Lukas Schwingshackl, Siener, R., Stangl, G. I., Armin Zittermann, Bernhard Watzl, & Ellinger, S. (2025). Protein intake and cardiovascular diseases: An umbrella review of systematic reviews for the evidence-based guideline on protein intake of the German nutrition society. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 64(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-025-03746-2>
- Farvid, M. S., Sidahmed, E., Spence, N. D., Mante Angua, K., Rosner, B. A., & Barnett, J. B. (2021). Consumption of red meat and processed meat and cancer incidence: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 36(9), 937–951. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-021-00741-9>
- Fillion, L., & Henry, C. J. (1998). Nutrient losses and gains during frying: A review. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 49(2), 157–168. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637489809089395>
- Gielen, E., Beckwée, D., Delaere, A., De Breucker, S., Vandewoude, M., Bautmans, I., Bautmans, I., Beaudart, C., Beckwée, D., Beyer, I., Bruyère, O., De Breucker, S., De Cock, A.-M., Delaere, A., de Saint-Hubert, M., De Spiegeleer, A., Gielen, E., Perkisas, S., & Vandewoude, M. (2021). Nutritional interventions to improve muscle mass, muscle strength, and physical performance in older people: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Nutrition Reviews*, 79(2), 121–147. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuaa011>
- Guarneiri, L. L., Adams, C. G., Bibiana Garcia-Jackson, Koecher, K., Wilcox, M. L., & Maki, K. C. (2024). Effects of varying protein amounts and types on diet induced thermogenesis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Advances in Nutrition*, 100332–100332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advnut.2024.100332>
- Guasch-Ferré, M., Satija, A., Blondin, S. A., Janiszewski, M., Emlen, E., O'Connor, L. E., Campbell, W. W., Hu, F. B., Willett, W. C., & Stampfer, M. J. (2019). Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of red meat consumption in comparison with various comparison diets on cardiovascular risk factors. *Circulation*, 139(15), 1828–1845. <https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.118.035225>
- Hansen, T. T., Astrup, A., & Sjödin, A. (2021). Are dietary proteins the key to successful body weight management? A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies assessing body weight outcomes after interventions with increased dietary protein. *Nutrients*, 13(9), 3193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13093193>
- Hassapidou, M., Vlassopoulos, A., Kalliostra, M., Govers, E., Mulrooney, H., Ells, L., Salas, X. R., Muscogiuri, G., Darleska, T. H., Busetto, L., Yumuk, V. D., Dicker, D., Halford, J., Woodward, E., Douglas, P., Brown, J., & Brown, T. (2022). European Association for the study of obesity position Statement on medical nutrition therapy for the management of overweight and obesity in adults developed in collaboration with the European Federation of the Associations of Dietitians. *Obesity Facts*, 16(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000528083>
- Heo, S., & Yang, S. J. (2025). Dietary factors and nutritional guidelines for sarcopenia in older adults: A narrative review. *Korean Journal of Community Nutrition*, 30(6), 389–396. <https://doi.org/10.5720/kjcn.2025.00360>

- Herreman, L., Nommensen, P., Pennings, B., & Laus, M. C. (2020). Comprehensive overview of the quality of plant- and animal-sourced proteins based on the digestible indispensable amino acid score. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1809>
- Hill, E., Deutz, N. E. P., Volpi, E., & Apovian, C. M. (2023). Nutritional interventions: Dietary protein needs and influences on skeletal muscle of older adults. *Nutritional Interventions: Dietary Protein Needs and Influences on Skeletal Muscle of Older Adults*, 78(Supplement_1), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glad038>
- Hu, Q., Zhang, J., Xing, R., Huang, W., Zhang, T., & Chen, Y. (2025). Comprehensive multiomics analysis reveals the effects of French fries and chicken breast meat on the oxidative degradation of lipids in soybean oil during deep-frying. *Food Chemistry*, 143052–143052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2025.143052>
- Hu, S., Yang, R., Deng, Y., Xue, D., Sun, Y., Xiao, X., Shen, Z., Wang, Y., Wu, Q., Wu, J., & Dai, Z. (2026). The association between plant protein intake and cancer risk: A prospective cohort study. *Nutrition Journal*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-026-01293-y>
- Huang, J., Liao, L. M., Weinstein, S. J., Sinha, R., Graubard, B. I., & Albanes, D. (2020). Association between plant and animal protein intake and overall and cause-specific mortality. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 180(9). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2790>
- Huang, Y., Cao, D., Chen, Z., & Chen, B. (2021). Red and processed meat consumption and cancer outcomes: Umbrella review. *Food Chemistry*, 356, 129697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129697>
- ICMR NIN . (2020). A brief note on nutrient requirements for indians, the recommended dietary allowances (RDA) and the estimated average requirements (EAR), ICMR -nin, 2020. https://www.nin.res.in/rdabook/brief_note.pdf
- Jäger, R. (2017). International Society of Sports Nutrition Position Stand: Protein and Exercise. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 14(1). <https://jissn.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12970-017-0177-8>
- KDIGO. (2024). Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *International Journal of Nephrology*. <https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/KDIGO-2024-CKD-Guideline.pdf>
- Keaney, M., Jones, A., Maganja, D., & Barrett, E. M. (2025). Protein-enriched breakfast cereals receive similar health star ratings despite higher sugar and sodium content. *Public Health*, 105968. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2025.105968>
- Kokura, Y., Ueshima, J., Saino, Y., & Maeda, K. (2024). Enhanced protein intake on maintaining muscle mass, strength, and physical function in adults with overweight/obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*, 63, 417–426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2024.06.030>
- Lamberg-Allardt, C., Bärebring, L., Arnesen, E. K., Nwaru, B. I., Thorisdottir, B., Ramel, A., Söderlund, F., Dierkes, J., & Åkesson, A. (2023). Animal versus plant-based protein and risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies. *Food & Nutrition Research*. <https://doi.org/10.29219/fnr.v67.9003>
- Lee, S. Y., Lee, Da Y., & Hur, S. J. (2024). Future perspectives: Current trends and controversies of meat alternatives classified as ultra-processed foods. *Journal of Food Science*, 89(11). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.17355>

- Leser, S. (2013). The 2013 FAO report on dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition: Recommendations and implications. *Nutrition Bulletin*, 38(4), 421–428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12063>
- Li, C., Bishop, T. R. P., Imamura, F., Sharp, S. J., Pearce, M., Brage, S., Ong, K. K., Ahsan, H., Bes-Rastrollo, M., Beulens, J. W. J., Nicole den Braver, Byberg, L., Scheine Canhada, Chen, Z., Chung, H.-F., Cortés-Valencia, A., Luc Djousse, Jean-Philippe Drouin-Chartier, Du, H., ... Wareham, N. J. (2024). Meat consumption and incident type 2 diabetes: An individual-participant federated meta-analysis of 1·97 million adults with 100 000 incident cases from 31 cohorts in 20 countries. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*, 12(9), 619–630. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(24\)00179-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(24)00179-7)
- Liao, C.- De, Huang, S.-W., Chen, H.-C., Huang, M.-H., Liou, T.-H., & Lin, C.-L. (2024). Comparative efficacy of different protein supplements on muscle mass, strength, and physical indices of sarcopenia among community-dwelling, hospitalized or institutionalized older adults undergoing resistance training: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrients*, 16(7), 941. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16070941>
- Lichtenstein, A. H., Appel, L. J., Vadiveloo, M., Hu, F. B., Kris-Etherton, P. M., Rebholz, C. M., Sacks, F. M., Thorndike, A. N., Van Horn, L., & Wylie-Rosett, J. (2021). 2021 Dietary guidance to improve cardiovascular health: A scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circulation*, 144(23), 472–487. <https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000001031>
- Loveday, S. M. (2022). Protein digestion and absorption: The influence of food processing. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 36(2), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954422422000245>
- López-Moreno, M., Barrantes-Espinola, G., & Craddock, J. C. (2026). Protein paradox: Protein quality based on amino acids composition is poorly associated with health outcomes. *Current Nutrition Reports*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-026-00754-4>
- Mariotti, F. (2019). Animal and Plant Protein Sources and Cardiometabolic Health. *Advances in Nutrition*, 10(Supplement_4), S351–S366. <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy110>
- Mariotti, F., & Gardner, C. D. (2019). Dietary protein and amino acids in vegetarian diets-A review. *Nutrients*, 11(11), E2661. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11112661>
- Marventano, S., Izquierdo Pulido, M., Sánchez-González, C., Godos, J., Speciani, A., Galvano, F., & Grosso, G. (2016). Legume consumption and CVD risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health Nutrition*, 20(2), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980016002299>
- Matthews, J. J., Arentson-Lantz, E. J., Moughan, P. J., Wolfe, R. R., Ferrando, A. A., & Church, D. D. (2025). Understanding dietary protein quality: DIAAS and beyond. *The Journal of Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2025.07.005>
- Messina, M., & Messina, V. (2025). Nova fails to appreciate the value of plant-based meat and dairy alternatives in the diet. *Journal of Food Science*, 90(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.70039>
- Messina, V., Guest, N. S., Duncan, A. M., Mangels, A. R., Norris, J., Ruscigno, M., Glenn, A. J., Wolfram, T., Christopher, & Messina, M. (2025). Dietary guidance on plant-based meat alternatives for individuals wanting to increase plant protein intake. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2025.1641234>

- Mills, S., White, M., Brown, H., Wrieden, W., Kwasnicka, D., Halligan, J., Robalino, S., & Adams, J. (2017). Health and Social Determinants and outcomes of home cooking: A systematic review of observational studies. *Appetite*, 111(1), 116–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.12.022>
- Miyahira, R. F., & Antunes, A. E. C. (2021). Bacteriological safety of sprouts: A brief review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 352, 109266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109266>
- Monteiro, C. A., Cannon, G., Moubarac, J.-C., Levy, R. B., Louzada, M. L. C., & Jaime, P. C. (2018). The UN Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing. *Public Health Nutrition*, 21(1), 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980017000234>
- Monteiro, C. A., Louzada, M. L., Steele-Martinez, E., Cannon, G., Andrade, G. C., Baker, P., Bes-Rastrollo, M., Bonaccio, M., Gearhardt, A. N., Khandpur, N., Kolby, M., Levy, R. B., Machado, P. P., Moubarac, J.-C., Rezende, L. F. M., Rivera, J. A., Scrinis, G., Srouf, B., Swinburn, B., & Touvier, M. (2025). Ultra-processed foods and human health: The main thesis and the evidence. *The Lancet*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(25\)01565-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(25)01565-x)
- Moughan, P. J., & Xin, W. (2024). Digestible indispensable amino acid score (DIAAS): 10 Years on. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1389719>
- Muscaritoli, M., Arends, J., Bachmann, P., Baracos, V., Barthelemy, N., Bertz, H., Bozzetti, F., Hütterer, E., Isenring, E., Kaasa, S., Krznaric, Z., Laird, B., Larsson, M., Laviano, A., Mühlebach, S., Oldervoll, L., Ravasco, P., Solheim, T. S., Strasser, F., ... Bischoff, S. C. (2021). ESPEN practical guideline: Clinical nutrition in cancer. *Clinical Nutrition*, 40(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.02.005>
- Naghshi, S., Sadeghi, O., Willett, W. C., & Esmailzadeh, A. (2020). Dietary intake of total, animal, and plant proteins and risk of all cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*, 370, m2412. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m2412>
- Ndibalema, L. R., Alavaisha, E., Kalonga, J., Manoko, M. L. K., & Joseph, C. O. (2026). Review of processing, anti-nutritional factors reduction and nutrient bioavailability in selected legumes. *Legume Science*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.70097>
- Neuenschwander, M., Stadelmaier, J., Eble, J., Grummich, K., Szczerba, E., Kiesswetter, E., Schlesinger, S., & Lukas Schwingshackl. (2023). Substitution of animal-based with plant-based foods on cardiometabolic health and all-cause mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *BMC Medicine*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-03093-1>
- Neufingerl, N., & Eilander, A. (2021). Nutrient intake and status in adults consuming plant-based diets compared to meat-eaters: A systematic review. *Nutrients*, 14(1), 29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14010029>
- Niklewicz, A., Hannibal, L., Warren, M., & Ahmadi, K. R. (2024). A systematic review and meta-analysis of functional vitamin <sc>B12</sc> status among adult vegans. *Nutrition Bulletin*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12712>
- Nunes, E. A., Colenso-Semple, L., McKellar, S. R., Yau, T., Ali, M. U., Fitzpatrick-Lewis, D., Sherifali, D., Gaudichon, C., Tomé, D., Atherton, P. J., Robles, M. C., Naranjo-Modad, S., Braun, M., Landi, F., & Phillips, S. M. (2022). Systematic review and meta-analysis of protein intake to support muscle mass and function in healthy adults. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 13(2), 795–810. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12922>

- Orsso, C. E., Caretero, A., Poltronieri, T. S., Arends, J., de van der Schueren, M. A., Kiss, N., Laviano, A., & Prado, C. M. (2024). Effects of high-protein supplementation during cancer therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 120(6), 1311–1324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajcnut.2024.08.016>
- Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science*, 360(6392), 987–992. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0216>
- PROTEIN AND AMINO ACID REQUIREMENTS IN HUMAN NUTRITION, Report of a Joint WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation . (2007). WHO Library Cataloguing. <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/b7c5ec43-bc59-4b38-b702-3f0e96a06fa1/content>
- Rahmanian, R., Shaygantabar, M., Hekmatdoost, A., Nikparast, A., Javaheri-Tafti, F., Ghaeminejad, Z., Khoshrang, A., Hatami, A., & Mohammadi-Sartang, M. (2026). Effects of non-soy legumes on body weight and body composition: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.71365>
- Raj, S., Guest, N. S., Landry, M. J., Mangels, A. R., Pawlak, R., & Rozga, M. (2025). Vegetarian dietary patterns for adults: A position paper of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 156227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2025.156227>
- Reid-McCann, R. J., Brennan, S. F., Ward, N. A., Logan, D., McKinley, M. C., & McEvoy, C. T. (2025). Effect of plant versus animal protein on muscle mass, strength, physical performance, and Sarcopenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuae200>
- Rolands, M. R., Hackl, L. S., Bochud, M. and Lê, K.-A. (2024). Protein adequacy, plant protein proportion and main plant protein sources consumed across vegan, vegetarian, pesco-vegetarian and semi-vegetarian diets: A systematic review.. *The Journal of nutrition*. [doi: 10.1016/j.tjnnt.2024.07.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnnt.2024.07.033).
- Reynolds, A., Mann, J., Cummings, J., Winter, N., Mete, E., & Te Morenga, L. (2019). Carbohydrate quality and human health: A series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 434–445. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(18\)31809-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31809-9)
- Rivera del Rio, A., Möller, A. C., Boom, R. M., & Janssen, A. E. M. (2022). In vitro gastro-small intestinal digestion of conventional and mildly processed pea protein ingredients. *Food Chemistry*, 387, 132894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.132894>
- Samtiya, M., Aluko, R. E., & Dhewa, T. (2020). Plant food anti-nutritional factors and their reduction strategies: An overview. *Food Production, Processing and Nutrition*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-020-0020-5>
- Shi, L., Arntfield, S. D., & Nickerson, M. (2018). Changes in levels of phytic acid, lectins and oxalates during soaking and cooking of Canadian pulses. *Food Research International*, 107, 660–668. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.02.056>
- Soh, P., Smith, N. W., Pamela von Hurst, & McNabb, W. C. (2023). Evaluation of protein adequacy from plant-based dietary scenarios in simulation studies: A narrative review. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 154(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnnt.2023.11.018>
- Stoodley, I. L., Williams, L. M., & Wood, L. G. (2023). Effects of plant-based protein interventions, with and without an exercise component, on body composition, strength and physical function in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrients*, 15(18), 4060. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15184060>

- Sá, A. G. A., Moreno, Y. M. F., & Carciofi, B. A. M. (2019). Food processing for the improvement of plant proteins digestibility. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(20), 3367–3386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1688249>
- Törös, G., Alibrahem, W., Kharrat Helu, N., Jevcsák, S., Ferroudj, A., & Prokisch, J. (2026). Acrylamide in Food: From Maillard Reaction to Public Health Concern. *Toxics*, 14(2), 110. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics14020110>
- Van Elswyk, M. E., Weatherford, C. A., & McNeill, S. H. (2018). A systematic review of renal health in healthy individuals associated with protein intake above the US recommended daily allowance in randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *Advances in Nutrition*, 9(4), 404–418. <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy026>
- Vigiouliouk, E., Blanco Mejia, S., Kendall, C. W. C., & Sievenpiper, J. L. (2017). Can pulses play a role in improving cardiometabolic health? Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1392(1), 43–57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13312>
- Vigiouliouk, E., Glenn, A. J., Nishi, S. K., Chiavaroli, L., Seider, M., Khan, T., Bonaccio, M., Iacoviello, L., Mejia, S. B., Jenkins, D. J. A., Kendall, C. W. C., Kahleová, H., Rahelić, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., & Sievenpiper, J. L. (2019). Associations between dietary pulses alone or with other legumes and cardiometabolic disease outcomes: An umbrella review and updated systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Advances in Nutrition*, 10(Supplement_4), S308–S319. <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmz113>
- Wolfe, R. R., Church, D. D., Ferrando, A. A., & Moughan, P. J. (2024). Consideration of the role of protein quality in determining dietary protein recommendations. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1389664>
- Yoshimura, Y., Matsumoto, A., Inoue, T., Okamura, M., & Kuzuya, M. (2025). Protein supplementation alone or combined with exercise for sarcopenia and physical frailty: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 131, 105783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2025.105783>
- Zhang, F., Yu, X., Tian, Y., Zeng, J., Zhuang, P., Jia, W., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Joint control of multiple food processing contaminants in Maillard reaction: A comprehensive review of health risks and prevention. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 24(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.70138>
- Zhong, V. W., Allen, N. B., Greenland, P., Carnethon, M. R., Ning, H., Wilkins, J. T., Lloyd-Jones, D. M., & Van Horn, L. (2021). Protein foods from animal sources, incident cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: A substitution analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 50(1), 223–233. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa205>